A PhD thesis I would like to do again.

Lucas Pastor

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

A graph G is ***perfect*** if every induced subgraph H of G satisfies $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

A graph G is ***perfect*** if every induced subgraph H of G satisfies $\chi(H) = \omega(H)$.

Definition

A graph G is ***claw-free*** if it does not contain any induced subgraph isomorphic to $K_{1,3}$ (the claw).



claw

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given a graph G and a set $L(v)$ of colors for each vertex v, we say that G is L-***colorable*** if we can find a coloring c such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given a graph G and a set $L(v)$ of colors for each vertex v, we say that G is L-***colorable*** if we can find a coloring c such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given a graph G and a set $L(v)$ of colors for each vertex v, we say that G is L-***colorable*** if we can find a coloring c such that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given an integer k, a graph G is k-***choosable*** if it L-colorable for every assignment L that satisfies $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given an integer k, a graph G is k-***choosable*** if it L-colorable for every assignment L that satisfies $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Definition

The ***choice number***, or ***list-chromatic number*** $\chi_\ell(G)$ of G is the smallest k such that G is k-choosable.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given an integer k, a graph G is k-***choosable*** if it L-colorable for every assignment L that satisfies $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Definition

The ***choice number***, or ***list-chromatic number*** $\chi_\ell(G)$ of G is the smallest k such that G is k-choosable.

Conjecture *Vizing 1975*

For every graph G, $\chi_\ell(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \chi(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Definition

Given an integer k, a graph G is k-***choosable*** if it L-colorable for every assignment L that satisfies $|L(v)| = k$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Definition

The ***choice number***, or ***list-chromatic number*** $\chi_\ell(G)$ of G is the smallest k such that G is k-choosable.

Conjecture *Vizing 1975*

For every graph G, $\chi_\ell(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \chi(\mathcal{L}(G))$.

Conjecture *Gravier, Maffray 1997*

For every claw-free graph G, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Conjecture

For every claw-free graph G, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Conjecture

For every claw-free graph G, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

We are interested in the case where G is ***perfect***.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Conjecture

For every claw-free graph G, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

We are interested in the case where G is ***perfect***.

Theorem

Let G be a claw-free perfect graph $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Conjecture

For every claw-free graph G, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

We are interested in the case where G is ***perfect***.

Theorem

Let G be a claw-free perfect graph $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

We achieved that thanks to a decomposition theorem of Chátal and Sbihi ***and*** a structural theorem of Maffray and Reed.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Theorem *Chvátal, Sbihi 1988*

Every claw-free perfect graph either has a ***clique-cutset***, or is a ***peculiar graph***, or is an ***elementary graph***.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Theorem *Chvátal, Sbihi 1988*

Every claw-free perfect graph either has a ***clique-cutset***, or is a ***peculiar graph***, or is an ***elementary graph***.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Theorem *Chvátal, Sbihi 1988*

Every claw-free perfect graph either has a ***clique-cutset***, or is a ***peculiar graph***, or is an ***elementary graph***.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Theorem *Chvátal, Sbihi 1988*

Every claw-free perfect graph either has a ***clique-cutset***, or is a ***peculiar graph***, or is an ***elementary graph***.



Peculiar graphs



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be a peculiar graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$ (***unique*** in this case). Then, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be a peculiar graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$ (***unique*** in this case). Then, $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

- If some pair of non-adjacent vertices u, v share a color, let $\alpha = c(u) = c(v)$ and we can easily color $G - \{u, v\}$ without using α.
- If no such pair exists, we can find a coloring by Hall's theorem (we have enough color to directly color G).

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.

Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.



Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.



Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.



Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.



Theorem

A graph G is elementary if and only if it is an augmentation of a the line-graph H of a bipartite multigraph B.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.

List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.





List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.

L-colorable $\iff$ the color changes



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.

L-colorable $\iff$ the color changes



List-coloring claw-free perfect graphs

Lemma

Let G be an elementary graph with $\omega(G) \leq 4$. Then $\chi_\ell(G) = \chi(G)$.

Proof

By induction on h, the number of augmented flat edges :

- If $h = 0$, use Gavlin's theorem (LCC is true for line-graphs of bipartite multigraphs).
- If $h > 0$, we use a gadget.



Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs
- elementary graphs.

Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs ✓
- elementary graphs.

Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs ✓
- elementary graphs. ✓

Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs ✓
- elementary graphs. ✓

We still need to glue back all pieces!

Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs ✓
- elementary graphs. ✓

We still need to glue back all pieces!
Within the list-coloring context, clique cutsets are not as convenient as for the classical coloring.

Proof of the main theorem

The graph G is decomposed by clique cutset into:

- peculiar graphs ✓
- elementary graphs. ✓

We still need to glue back all pieces!
Within the list-coloring context, clique cutsets are not as convenient as for the classical coloring.
We still manage to deal with them by using **Galvin's theorem.**

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem ***Garey, Johnson, Stockmeyer 1974***

Deciding wether a graph is k-colorable is NP-complete for each $k \geq 3$.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem ***Garey, Johnson, Stockmeyer 1974***

Deciding wether a graph is k-colorable is NP-complete for each $k \geq 3$.

As it is well known, the k-coloring problem is polynomial for perfect graphs.

But let us take a look at **other graph classes**.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem *Kamiński, Lozin 2007*

For any fixed $k, g \geq 3$, the k-coloring problem is NP-complete in the class of graphs with ***girth*** at least g.

girth : length of the shortest cycle.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem *Kamiński, Lozin 2007*

For any fixed $k, g \geq 3$, the k-coloring problem is NP-complete in the class of graphs with ***girth*** at least g.

girth : length of the shortest cycle.

Theorem *Holyer 1981*

For any fixed $k \geq 3$, the k-coloring problem is NP-complete in the class of H-free graphs where H contains a claw.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem *Kamiński, Lozin 2007*

For any fixed $k, g \geq 3$, the k-coloring problem is NP-complete in the class of graphs with ***girth*** at least g.

girth : length of the shortest cycle.

Theorem *Holyer 1981*

For any fixed $k \geq 3$, the k-coloring problem is NP-complete in the class of H-free graphs where H contains a claw.

By combining these two theorems, we have the following :

Corollary

For any fixed $k \geq 3$ and H a forbidden induced subgraph that is **not a collection of paths**, deciding whether a H-free graph is k-colorable is NP-complete.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

What was known?

k-coloring of P_ℓ-free graphs.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

What was known?

k-coloring of P_ℓ-free graphs.

$\ell \backslash k$	≤ 2	3	4	≥ 5
≤ 4	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
5	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
6	*P*	*P*	?	*NPC*
7	*P*	*P*	*NPC*	*NPC*
≥ 8	*P*	?	*NPC*	*NPC*

$\ldots$

$\vdots$

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

What was known?

k-coloring of P_ℓ-free graphs.

$\ell \backslash k$	≤ 2	3	4	≥ 5
≤ 4	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
5	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
6	*P*	*P*	?	*NPC*
7	*P*	*P*	*NPC*	*NPC*
≥ 8	*P*	?	*NPC*	*NPC*

...

⋮

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

What is known?

k-coloring of P_ℓ-free graphs.

$\ell \backslash k$	≤ 2	3	4	≥ 5
≤ 4	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
5	*P*	*P*	*P*	*P*
6	*P*	*P*	*P*	*NPC*
7	*P*	*P*	*NPC*	*NPC*
≥ 8	*P*	**?**	*NPC*	*NPC*

...

⋮

Theorem *Chudnovsky, Spirkl, Zhong 2018*

There exists a polynomial time algorithm for the 4-coloring problem for P_6-free graphs.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem ***Maffray, Pastor***

There is polynomial time algorithm that determines whether a (P_6, bull)-free graph is 4-colorable, and if it is, produces a 4-coloring.



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A ***homogeneous set*** is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is either complete to S or anti-complete to S.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A ***homogeneous set*** is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is either complete to S or anti-complete to S.



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A ***homogeneous set*** is a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ such that every vertex in $V(G) \setminus S$ is either complete to S or anti-complete to S.



Definition

Quasi-prime graph A graph G is ***quasi-prime*** if every non-trivial homogeneous set of G is a clique.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

It is sufficient to produce a 4-coloring for any (P_6, bull)-free graph G that satisfies the following properties :

1. G is K_5-free and double-wheel-free.
2. G and $\overline{G}$ are connected.
3. G is quasi-prime.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

It is sufficient to produce a 4-coloring for any (P_6, bull)-free graph G that satisfies the following properties :

1. G is K_5-free and double-wheel-free.
2. G and $\overline{G}$ are connected.
3. G is quasi-prime.



double-wheel

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If G is not connected.

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If G is not connected.

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If G is not connected.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If G is not connected.
Keep the maximum over all $\chi(G_i)$.

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If $\overline{G}$ is not connected.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If $\overline{G}$ is not connected.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If $\overline{G}$ is not connected.
Test 3-colorability of each co-components with known algorithms.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Proof of G and $\overline{G}$ connected.



G

If $\overline{G}$ is not connected.
Test 3-colorability of each co-components with known algorithms.
Refine to test whether they are 1-, 2- or 3-colorable.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds :

1. G is gem-free.
2. G contains a ***magnet***.
3. G contains the special graph.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds :

1. G is gem-free.
2. G contains a ***magnet***.
3. G contains the special graph.



gem



special graph

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a (P_6, bull, gem)-free graph, then G is either :

1. Perfect.
2. Of bounded clique-width.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a (P_6, bull, gem)-free graph, then G is either :

1. Perfect.
2. Of bounded clique-width.

1. Algorithm for bull-free perfect graphs.
2. Courcelle's theorem.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A subgraph F of G is a ***magnet*** if every vertex of $G \setminus F$ has two neighbours $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $uv \in E(F)$.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A subgraph F of G is a ***magnet*** if every vertex of $G \setminus F$ has two neighbours $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $uv \in E(F)$.





Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A subgraph F of G is a ***magnet*** if every vertex of $G \setminus F$ has two neighbours $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $uv \in E(F)$.



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A subgraph F of G is a ***magnet*** if every vertex of $G \setminus F$ has two neighbours $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $uv \in E(F)$.



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Definition

A subgraph F of G is a ***magnet*** if every vertex of $G \setminus F$ has two neighbours $u, v \in V(F)$ such that $uv \in E(F)$.



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds:

- G is gem-free.
- G contains a magnet.
- G contains the special graph.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds:

- G is gem-free. ✓
- G contains a magnet.
- G contains the special graph.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds:

- G is gem-free. ✓
- G contains a magnet. ✓
- G contains the special graph.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Lemma

Let G be a quasi-prime bull-free graph that contains no K_5 and no double-wheel. Then at least one of the following holds:

- G is gem-free. ✓
- G contains a magnet. ✓
- G contains the special graph.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs



Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem ***Maffray, Pastor***

There is a polynomial time algorithm that determines whether a (P_6, bull)-free graphs is 4-colorable, and if it is, produces a 4-coloring.

Coloring (P_6, bull)-free graphs

Theorem ***Maffray, Pastor***

There is a polynomial time algorithm that determines whether a (P_6, bull)-free graphs is 4-colorable, and if it is, produces a 4-coloring.

Theorem ***Maffray, Pastor***

For any fixed k, there is a polynomial algorithm that determines if a (P_6, bull, gem)-free graph is k-colorable and if it is, produces a k-coloring.

Conclusion

- At the beginning of my PhD, I realised I knew close to nothing, and he knew **A LOT**.
- During my PhD thesis, I participated in 6 (3 with only the two of us) papers where Frédéric was also a co-author.
- These 3 years of PhD were a walk in the park thanks to him.

Conclusion

- At the beginning of my PhD, I realised I knew close to nothing, and he knew **A LOT**.
- During my PhD thesis, I participated in 6 (3 with only the two of us) papers where Frédéric was also a co-author.
- These 3 years of PhD were a walk in the park thanks to him.

Thank you for your attention.